

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Doz. 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

09/736,593 12/13/2000 Thomas Fruh Mo-5861/1	1/RC-208 5722		
7590 06/23/2003			
1370 0012312000			
Patent Department	EXAMINER		
Bayer Corporation 100 Bayer Road	MULCAHY, PETER D		
Pittsburgh, PA 15205-9741	IDUT DARROWS AND CONTROL		
ART U	UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
17	713		
DATE MAILEI	ED: 06/23/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				`		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/736,593	FRUH ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Peter D. Mulcahy	1713			
Period fo	Th MAILING DATE of this communication apported by Reply	pears on the cover she	t with the correspondence addre	ss		
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repiperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing digital patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, m ly within the statutory minimum will apply and will expire SIX (6) e, cause the application to becor	ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm ne ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.		
1)[🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24	March 2003 .				
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ Th	nis action is non-final.				
3)□ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims			nerits is		
4)🖂	Claim(s) 4-11 is/are pending in the application	ղ.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration				
5)🖂	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-11</u> is/are allowed.					
6)	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
l <u>—</u>	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement				
Applicati	on Papers					
9) 🗌 🧵	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	ply to this Office action.				
12) 🗌 🗆	Γhe oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.				
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)□	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received	in Application No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	a)).	ge		
l	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti			plication).		
a)	The translation of the foreign language process	ovisional application ha	as been received.	,		
Attachment		,	•			
2) D Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notic	riew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-15 :			
J.S. Patent and Tro PTO-326 (Rev		ction Summary	Part of Paper No. 8			

Serial No. 09/736,593
Art Unit 1713

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Trivette, Jr. et al.

The rejection as set forth under 35 U.S.C. § 102/103 in Paper No. 5 over this reference is deemed proper and is herein repeated.

Applicants argue that the patent does not suggest adding the polysulfide to the rubber mixture as a masticating agent. This is not persuasive. The prior art clearly teaches adding polysulfide compounds to rubber mixtures. The fact that the

Serial No. 09/736,593 Art Unit 1713

prior art identifies the polysulfide as functioning in a different manner does not render the instantly claimed invention obvious. The Examiner maintains that it is reasonable to presume that when one combines the claimed masticating agent, i.e. the polysulfide with the rubber components as also claimed, then the polysulfide would function in the same manner as it does in the claims. It should be noted that a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 102/103 is appropriate when each of the claimed ingredients is present except for a function, then the Examiner has a reasonable basis to presume that the prior art possesses the function which would anticipate or render obvious the instantly claimed invention.

Claims 4-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Scholl or the German document as applied in Paper No. 5.

With respect to the German document, it is alleged that the disulfides are shown and that the claims are limited to the polysulfides. The polysulfides as instantly claimed have a sulfur number of 3, 4 or 5. There remains no showing of unexpected results which render the instantly claimed polysulfide having 3 sulfides patentable over the prior art which shows disulfides functioning in the same manner in the same compositions. It is reasonable to presume that the instantly

Serial No. 09/736,593
Art Unit 1713

1

THE RESERVE THE PROPERTY OF TH

claimed polysulfides would function in an expected manner when compared to the disulfides given the close structural similarity.

Applicants argue that the Scholl et al. patent would not lead one to utilize the carboxylated oligosulfides as masticating agents. This is not persuasive. The Examiner maintains that once again it is not necessary for the prior art to identify these compounds as masticating agents but rather show them in the compositions as claimed and thus it would be reasonable to presume that the function inherently possessed by the compounds would transfer to that composition. As such, the claims are rendered prima facie obvious.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicants are reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a). The practice of automatically extending the shortened statutory period an additional month upon the filing of a timely first response to a final rejection has been discontinued by the Office. See 1021 TMOG 35.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE

Serial No. 09/736,593

Art Unit 1713

PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter D. Mulcahy, whose telephone number is (703) 308-2449. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday through Friday from 7:30 A.M. to 6:00 P.M.

The fax telephone number for this group is (703) 305-3599.

Any inquiry of general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2351.

P. Mulcahy:cdc June 16, 2003

PETER D. MULĆAHY PRIMARY EXAMINER